Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	, 09/045,732	FULLER ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Marjorie A. Moran	1631
All Participants:	Status of Application:	
(1) <u>Marjorie A. Moran</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Barry Wilson</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 10 January 2005	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	oplicant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: Rejections under 35 USC 103		
Claims discussed: All pending		
Prior art documents discussed: Chen, Okada, Nishimura		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE G See Continuation Sheet	ENERAL NATURE OF WHAT V	WAS DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separ directly resulted in the allowance of the application of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separ did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief sun 	n. The examiner will provide a variate record of the substance of	vritten summary of the substance the interview, since the interview
Dayrie G. Maron		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (App	licant/Applicant's Representative	e Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner stated that certain embodiments of Groups R1 and R2 appeared to be free of the prior art and suggested an examiner's amendment to put the claims in conditions for allowance. The attorney authorized an examiner's amendment similar to that faxed with the attorney's interview summary, and authorized some further amendments to further clarify the claims.